DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 462 962 IR 021 122

AUTHOR Rivera, Esther Bonafoux

TITLE Technology Curriculum in My Classroom.

PUB DATE 2002-00-00

NOTE 12p.

PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Computer Uses in Education; Educational Media; Instructional

Design; *Language Arts; Literacy; Nonprint Media; *Reading Instruction; Teaching Methods; *Video Equipment; *Visual

Aids

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the author's experiences in attempting to adapt to and include the use of technology while teaching reading and language arts skills to students of limited English proficiency and low socio-economic levels. The author used videos for instruction, and prepared traditional lesson plans in language arts and reading. The lessons consisted of previewing questions, soliciting and reviewing vocabulary, grammar skills development, identification and discussion of characters, plot, and possible and alternate endings. Vocabulary, story retelling, and summary charts were prepared with the students, and reviewed on the following day. The students' progress was closely monitored. Because the students had limited literacy ability at the time, the visual images listening features of the videos allowed the students to concentrate on the stories, without the anxiety caused by the inability to decode written material. They participated more in the language and grammar skills development part of the lesson because they were surer of the accuracy of their answers. This paper discusses obstacles, equipment used, outcomes and benefits. The paper concludes with a brief description of some curriculum strategies through which technology can be used for instructional purposes across the subject areas. (AEF)



Esther Bonafoux Rivera, Ed. D.

Technology Curriculum in My Classroom

12 Stuyvesant Oval, #7C

(212)979-8518

E-mail: Bonafoux@AOL.com

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

E.B. Rivera

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization ordination.

originating it.

Mirror changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



Introduction

This article briefly presents some of my experiences in attempting to adapt and include the use of technology while implementing the reading and language arts curriculum.

It concludes with a brief description of some curriculum strategies through which technology can be used for instructional purposes across the subject areas.



Technology Curriculum in My Classroom

by Esther Bonafoux Rivera

The use of technology in the classroom has become a priority in education. Many Federal, state and local government have allocated resources for this purpose.

Administrator and teacher training has been wide-spread, and in some states is required for permanent certification. But the question of how and when the technology is to be programmed into the daily instructional planning has not been clearly determined. It is supposedly left to the teacher's discretion. As a teacher involved in curriculum development, I always tried to develop curriculum, following state and local district guidelines, which would expand instruction through the available technology.

Cuban (2001), states that "...we take the spotlight off ...(the) inhospitable organizational structures that constrain teacher use." (p 139) My experience as a teacher is that organizational infrastructure restricted my use as an individual teacher of the technology in my classrooms. It also limited the administrator's ability to support my efforts.

In teaching reading and language skills to students of limited English proficiency and low-socio economic levels, I find that they lack accessibility to information and experience which is organic to the major culture. Linguists and research on this



subject indicate that these students have the same abilities but need to develop experiences that will help them to use language competitively for academic achievement. It is within the bounds of reason to suggest that students seem to always be interested in innovations in technology. They are motivated by its stimulus. It is useful to incorporate technology into student-centered lessons as much as possible.

The first stumbling block was access. First one needed to sign up for the hard equipment because it was in limited supply. One also had to remember to pick it up or remind the Audio-Visual aid that you had reserved it for the particular day and time. Then one had to sign up for the film. If the subject was not available in the school one had to go to Teacher Centers, or libraries to borrow it, and of course one had to return it. The same was true even for overhead projectors. If one were lucky and the equipment and material were available, and everyone remembered your reservations, one still spends a substantial amount of time obtaining the equipment and materials. This time could have been better used in lesson plan development, and curriculum adaptation.



Some administrators were so concerned with the fact that the new technology was just a way for teachers to not teach, that many times one had to schedule it so that one used the technology when the administrators were out of the school building. Other subversive ways were to talk the Audio/Visual aide into letting one keep the hardware, so that one could use it when no one was looking. Of course this meant that one had to make it available to other teachers whenever they wanted to use it, so that one was not free to schedule the time in which it might be more convenient to use the equipment. The teachers still mostly had to obtain the software from somewhere outside of the school, or purchase it themselves.

As a teacher-assigned Audio-Visual Teacher/Administrator, my responsibility included the handling of six televisions, with video equipment, and state-of-the art video projectors but I did not have a permanently assigned room. As the school had four floors, and no elevator, to transport the equipment from the first floor to the fourth floor was a challenge. I put a television in the book storage room in each floor. Although I still had to run from class to the storage room to the waiting class. And, I had to purchase the videos, or obtain them from the Teacher Center because the school did not receive money for videos. I took advantage of this opportunity, and I used the videos for instruction. I



prepared traditional lesson plans in language arts and reading. The lessons consisted of previewing questions, soliciting and reviewing vocabulary, grammar skills development, identification and discussion of characters, plot, possible and alternate endings.

Vocabulary, story retelling, and summary charts were prepared with the students, and reviewed on the following day. I closely monitored the student's progress. Because the students had limited literacy ability at the time, I found that the visual images listening features of the videos, allowed the students to concentrate on the stories, without the anxiety caused by the inability to decode written material. They participated more in the language and grammar skills development part of the lesson because they were surer of the accuracy of their answers. It was exciting to teach with technology, because the students were involved learners. Then the administrator decided that the lessons were not instructional because I used videos for instruction instead of using printed material.

Cuban (2001), "...investigated teachers' responses to the introduction of the technological innovations of film (1910s-1940s), radio (1920s-1940s), and instructional television (1950s-1980s)." (p137) Therefore, no one can claim that the use of technology was in any way innovative in 1988. Amazingly enough, the school at that time, had two computer classrooms, with 30 computers, and one teacher experienced in the use of computers. The technology was used to give students experience in the use of



computers and software and not to provide content area instruction or support related to classroom instruction.

As director of an Adult Basic Education program at a community college, I negotiated with the computer center administrator for the scheduling of the use of the center for my literacy adult students. These students were recently arrived immigrants from low socio-economic levels, who had no experience with computers. They were painfully aware of their existence and their inability to have access to them. The problem of obtaining materials was solved by vendors willing to give samples, and contributions made by personal friends who had already become computer literate in 1984.

Although the materials was basic primary education material, the adult basic education students were thrilled to learn the basics. A work-study college-student aid taught them how to turn on the computer, and insert the disk. The students enjoyed the experience but due to the lack of software, the instructional use was limited.

The use of the computers did provide a motivation for the students to come to literacy class after a hard day's work.

Cuban (2001) says that technology in education is slowly absorbed, "...even "laggards" joined the majority of teachers in using films and television; but uses in classroom were infrequent, limited to maintaining customary practices; and



peripheral to the daily routines of teaching and learning (save for a tiny fraction of teachers." (p140)

Instruction in all of the curriculum areas, can be enhanced by technology. In social studies and history the visual images can make vivid the reality of the event in the student's mind. The teacher can involve the students in many projects which have practical and current implications. Students can research written materials in libraries, government agencies, and private industry using technology, based upon their age, grade level, and skills...

In the area of science, the students can view procedures that many would never have the opportunity to experience. They can develop hypothesis relevant to actual scientific problems. They can try out their assumptions and get closer to the problem solving strategy, which can be used towards the solution of the problem depending on the level of sophistication of the software.

With virtual reality, students can even become involved in the material that they are



viewing. The arts become a first hand appreciation experience. They can create art with the tools available through the technology.

Visual representations can depict mathematic problems. Beginning math skills can be fun for students to work on with the use of technology. Grids, charts, money handling, and other problems can be worked on using a variety of software. Students can save their work and continue it at home, or the following class day. Students can make up their own problems, through work processing, and explain their solutions. They can create mathematics problems for each other.

Geological areas can be seen in three-dimensional ways. The effects of natural phenomenon on geographic areas can be visualized without actually being there.

Language and reading are integral to technology. Instructions for the use of technology are written, and therefore the students are challenged to respond to the written word. Visual images present great opportunities for creative writing and for the development of short films and documentaries. As aural/oral interactive software is developed, the opportunities for the use of technology and language teaching will be used to tap into prior knowledge as this information is used to develop new skills and creativity. Listening features allow a student to understand a story even if the student does



not yet have reading skills. For second language learners, diction, pronunciation; phonemic awareness, and grammar skills will all be augmented by the use of appropriate technology material. Literacy can be learned through the many activities which can be done through the use of technology. Through word processing students can create projects, stories, both in groups and as individuals.

The curriculum can more easily be integrated across the content areas. At the present time, the software is still limited in its compatibility with content area and language and reading curriculum and is not readily available.

The "organizational structures" must support the integration of technology across the curriculum for the purpose of instruction. As additional technological innovations are developed, educators will be able to continue to enhance the implementation of curriculum. At the present time, the preparation for the integration of technology across the curriculum is still too time consuming and frustrating for overloaded classroom teachers, and without adequate resources it can be limited.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bowman, C. and Edenfield, R. (2000). Becoming Better Together Through Collaboration and Technology. <u>English Journal</u>, 90, 2, 112-119

Cuban, Larry (2001). Oversold and Underused, Computers in the Classroom. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Goodlad, John I. (1984). A Place Called School. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Karchmer, Rachel A. (2000). Using the Internet and Children's Literature to Support Interdisciplinary Instruction. Reading Teacher, 54, 1, 100-104 ERIC CS759834

Lund, D., Angell, V., and Atwood, K., (2000). Integrating Computer Technology into a Balanced Literacy Approach: Beyond Interactive Books and Word Processors. Paper Presented at the International Reading Association World Congress on Reading (18th, Auckland, New Zealand, July 11-14, 2000). ERIC CS 217595

Powers, W. G., Anderson, S. and Love, D. (2000). Overcoming Resistance to Instructional Technology. <u>JACA: Journal of the Association</u> for Communication Administration, 29, 3, 286-292 ERIC CS760629

Reinking, D. Labbo, L. and McKenna, M. (2000). From Assimilation to Accommodation: A Developmental Framework for Integrating
Digital Technologies Into Literacy Research and Instruction. <u>Journal of Research in Reading</u>, 23, 2, 110-122 ERIC CS760713

Rogers, Everett (1995). Diffusion of Innovations (4th ed). New York: Free Press, 1995





U.S. Department of Education Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Éducation (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Technology Curriculum in My Classroom	
Author(s): Esther Bonafoux Rivera	
	Publication Date: 2002 ,

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents	
PERMISSION TO REPROJECTE AND DISSEMBLATE THES MATERIAL HAS BEEN CHANGED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOLUCION INFORMATION CINTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DESSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MEDITCHE, AND IN FLECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY. HAS BEEN GRANGED BY TO THE EBECATRINAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERRO)	PERMISSION TO REPECBACE AND DRSSEMMATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICIE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EERICATEONAL RESCURCES INFORMATION CENTER IERIC)	
Level 1			
Etta I	Level 2A	Level 2B	
<u>†</u>	*	:	
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only	
Doce If permission t	ments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction or reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents wi	quality permits.	

MELTINE TO

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Ce	mtay (EDIC) aranalusina nami	nion As	
disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction other than ERIC employees and its system contractors required for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service and discrete inquiries.	n from the ERIC microfiche, or el res permission from the copyrigh	lectronic media by persons ht holder. Exception is made	
Signature: Benefoux Rivero	Printed Name/Position/Title:		
	Dr. Esther Ronafoux Rivera		
Organization/Address:	Telephone: (212)979-8518	Fax:	
	E-mail Address:	Date:	
	Bonafoux@AOL.com	2/25/02	
f permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you cource, please provide the following information regarding the ocument unless it is publicly available, and a dependable so ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for do	e availability of the document. (I urce can be specified. Contribute	ERIC will not announce a ors should also be aware that	
Publisher/Distributor:			
Address:			
Price:			
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/I If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someoname and address:			
Name:			
Address:			
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:			
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:			

